



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

THOMSON LICENSING LLC
Two Independence Way
Suite 200
PRINCETON NJ 08540

COPY MAILED

DEC 05 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Louis Robert Litwin et al. :
Application No. 10/612,310 : DECISION ON PETITION.
Filed: July 2, 2003 : UNDER 37 C.F.R.
Attorney Docket Number: : § 1.137(B)
PU030156 :
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS :
FOR FREQUENCY-ROBUST :
DETECTION OF A WIDEBAND CODE :
DIVISION MULTIPLE ACCESS :
SECONDARY SYNCHRONIZATION :
CHANNEL :
:

This is a decision on the petition filed August 6, 2007, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)¹, to revive the above-identified application.

¹ A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply within the meaning of 37 C.F.R. § 1.113 in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed October 5, 2006, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) were obtained, and no responses were received. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on January 6, 2007. A notice of abandonment was mailed on May 17, 2007.

37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional. Since the statement contained in the instant petition varies from the language required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(3), the statement contained in the instant petition is being construed as the statement required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(3) and Petitioner must notify the Office if this is not a correct interpretation of the statement contained in the present petition.

With the present petition, Petitioner filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, including a request for consideration of a concurrently submitted amendment and payment of the RCE fee. The RCE has been accepted as the required reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(1). Having made a statement which is being construed as the proper statement of unintentional delay and having submitted the petition fee, Petitioner has met all other requirements for a grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b). A terminal disclaimer is not required.

As such, the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

The Technology Center will be notified of this decision. The Technology Center's support staff will notify the Examiner of this decision, so that the submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 - the amendment filed concurrently with this petition - can be processed.

It is noted that the address listed on the petition differs from the address of record. The application file does not indicate a change of correspondence address has been filed in this case, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. If petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the change of correspondence address must be submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision will be mailed to petitioner. However, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of record until

such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary. Petitioner will not receive future correspondence unless a Change of Correspondence Address Form (PTO/SB/122) is submitted for the above-identified application. A blank Change of Correspondence Address Form (PTO/SB/122) may be found at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/sb0122.pdf>.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225². All other inquiries concerning the status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.



Paul Shanoski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions
United States Patent and Trademark Office

cc: THOMSON LICENSING LLC
Patent Operations
PO Box 5312
PRINCETON NJ 08543-5312

2 Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.2. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for any further action(s) of Petitioner.